

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,217	ZUBERI, KHAWAR M.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
OLEG SURVILLO	2442	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442.

(3) _____.

(2) James H. Morris, Reg. No.: 34,681.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's attorney to discuss clarity issues with several claims and proposed claim amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. It was agreed on that the proposed claim amendments would be faxed to the attorney for review and approval. In a subsequent interview an authorization from the applicant's attorney was granted for this examiner's amendment.